# Variable Galactic Gamma-Ray Sources (IV)

Tokyo, 4-7 July 2017

The gamma-ray candidate and Be/BH binary MWC 656 in context: discovery, evolution and recent results

Marc Ribó (mribo@ub.edu)







I will present results of **several publications** and **theses**, with different **contributors**:

A Be-type star with a black-hole companion J. Casares, I. Negueruela, M. Ribó, I. Ribas, J. M. Paredes, A. Herrero & S. Simón-Díaz, Nature, 505, 378 (2014)

**Discovery of X-ray emission from the first Be/black hole system P. Munar-Adrover, J. M. Paredes, M. Ribó, K. Iwasawa, V. Zabalza & J. Casares, ApJ**, 786, L11 (2014)

MAGIC observations of MWC 656, the first known Be/BH system J. Aleksic et al., for the MAGIC Collaboration, A&A, 576, 36 (2015)

On the formation and evolution of the first Be star in a black hole binary MWC 656 M. Grudzinska, K. Belczynski, J. Casares, S.E. de Mink, J. Ziolkowski, I. Negueruela, M. Ribó, I. Ribas, J.M. Paredes, A. Herrero & M. Benacquista, MNRAS, 452, 2773 (2015)

The first simultaneous X-ray/radio detection of the first Be/BH system MWC 656 M. Ribó, P. Munar-Adrover, J. M. Paredes, B. Marcote, K. Iwasawa, J. Moldón, J. Casares, S. Migliari, X. Paredes-Fortuny, ApJ, 835, L33 (2017)

PhD Theses of J. Moldón (2012), B. Marcote (2015), X. Paredes-Fortuny (2016)

I will present results of **several publications** and **theses**, with different **contributors**:

A Be-type star with a black-hole companion J. Casares, I. Negueruela, M. Ribó, I. Ribas, J. M. Paredes, A. Herrero & S. Simón-Díaz, Nature, 505, 378 (2014) Following talk!

**Discovery of X-ray emission from the first Be/black hole system P. Munar-Adrover, J. M. Paredes, M. Ribó, K. Iwasawa, V. Zabalza & J. Casares, ApJ**, 786, L11 (2014)

MAGIC observations of MWC 656, the first known Be/BH system J. Aleksic et al., for the MAGIC Collaboration, A&A, 576, 36 (2015)

On the formation and evolution of the first Be star in a black hole binary MWC 656 M. Grudzinska, K. Belczynski, J. Casares, S.E. de Mink, J. Ziolkowski, I. Negueruela, M. Ribó, I. Ribas, J.M. Paredes, A. Herrero & M. Benacquista, MNRAS, 452, 2773 (2015) Following talk!

The first simultaneous X-ray/radio detection of the first Be/BH system MWC 656 M. Ribó, P. Munar-Adrover, J. M. Paredes, B. Marcote, K. Iwasawa, J. Moldón, J. Casares, S. Migliari, X. Paredes-Fortuny, ApJ, 835, L33 (2017)

PhD Theses of J. Moldón (2012), B. Marcote (2015), X. Paredes-Fortuny (2016)



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Discovery of MWC 656, the first Be/BH binary
- 3. Formation and evolution
- 4. HE and VHE observations
- 5. Work in progress
- 6. Conclusions

### **Introduction**

Binary systems with HE (GeV) and/or VHE (TeV) gamma-ray emission:

- Accreting X-ray binaries like Cygnus X-3 (SED peak at keV).
- Young non-accreting pulsars like PSR B1259-63 (SED peak at MeV-GeV). We call these systems gamma-ray binaries.
- > Colliding wind binaries such as **Eta Carinae**.
- > Novae like V407 Cygni.
- ➢ Transitional millisecond pulsars like PSR J1023+0038.
- > Recycled non-accreting MS PSRs in binary systems: **Black Widow Pulsar**.

All these systems have been detected at GeV energies by *Fermi*/LAT and/or by *AGILE*, but only the gamma-ray binaries are detected at TeV energies.

### Introduction

Binary systems with HE (GeV) and/or VHE (TeV) gamma-ray emission:

- Accreting X-ray binaries like Cygnus X-3 (SED peak at keV).
- Young non-accreting pulsars like PSR B1259-63 (SED peak at MeV-GeV). We call these systems gamma-ray binaries.
- > Colliding wind binaries such as **Eta Carinae**.
- > Novae like V407 Cygni.
- ➢ Transitional millisecond pulsars like PSR J1023+0038.
- > Recycled non-accreting MS PSRs in binary systems: **Black Widow Pulsar**.

All these systems have been detected at GeV energies by *Fermi*/LAT and/or by *AGILE*, but only the gamma-ray binaries are detected at TeV energies.

#### **Introduction**

Gamma-ray emitting binaries with massive stars and compact objects



Cygnus X-3, Cygnus X-1

PSR B1259-63 LS 5039 ? LS I +61 303 ? HESS J0632+057 ? 1FGL J1018.6-5856 ? CXOU J053600.0-673507 (LMC P3) ?



- Transient long-term behavior (Cygnus X-3, 2009 papers).
- Maybe short-term flares (Cygnus X-1, AGILE).
- Persistent emission in the low-hard state (Cygnus X-1, *Fermi*/LAT).

#### **Gamma-ray binaries**:

• Persistent/transient but periodically modulated (but HESS J0632+057).



**X-ray binaries and gamma-ray binaries** displaying HE (GeV) and/or VHE (TeV) gamma-ray emission **allow us to study** the following processes depending on black hole states or orbital configurations:

Particle acceleration

Anisotropic Inverse Compton Scattering

Absorption and cascading

> Outflow evolution and energy losses

These are new laboratories for physics!

However, there are still lots of **open questions**, and the **study of every new system is important by itself**.

AGILE detected a new unidentified gamma-ray source: AGL J2241+4454.

- **Coordinates**  $(l,b) = (100.0, -12.2) \pm 0.6^{\circ} (95\% \text{ stat.}) \pm 0.1^{\circ} (\text{syst.}).$
- **Epoch of detection**: 25-26 July 2010.

Flux: a maximum likelihood analysis yields a detection above 5 sigma, and a flux above 1.5 x 10<sup>-6</sup> ph/cm<sup>2</sup>/s (E > 100 MeV) (Lucarelli et al. 2010).

*Fermi*/LAT did not confirm the detection, with an upper limit one order of magnitude smaller (http://fermisky.blogspot.com.es/ 2010/07/extra-note-july-30-2010.html).

Even if outside the Galactic plane the  $\pm 0.6^{\circ}$  includes **lots of possible counterparts**.



- Williams et al. (2010) suggested the Be star HD 215227, aka MWC 656, as possible counterpart.
- Optical photometry (archival data) revealed a periodicity of 60.37±0.04 d, suggesting binarity.





- Williams et al. (2010) suggested the Be star HD 215227, aka MWC 656, as possible counterpart.
- Optical photometry (archival data) revealed a periodicity of 60.37±0.04 d, suggesting binarity.
- Paredes-Fortuny et al. (2012) confirmed the periodicity with a coherent data set.



Radial velocity studies of photospheric absorption HeI lines of the Be star showed clear variations when folded with the 60.37 d period, confirming the binary nature of MWC 656 and allowing for a NS or BH companion Casares et al. (2012).





Radial velocity studies of **photospheric absorption HeI lines** of the Be star showed clear variations when folded with the 60.37 d period, **confirming the binary nature** of MWC 656 and allowing for a NS or BH companion **Casares et al. (2012)**.





- > HeII 4686 A emission line too hot to be originated in the Be disk.
- ▶ Its double peak suggests gas orbiting in Keplerian motion.
- **FeII** emission lines arise in the Be decretion disk.



- > HeII 4686 A emission line too hot to be originated in the Be disk.
- > Its double peak suggests gas orbiting in Keplerian motion.
- **FeII** emission lines arise in the Be decretion disk.
- ➢ We see a decretion disk and an accretion disk! (Casares et al. 2014).





Wavelength (nm)



- > Double-line fit to disk lines provides a mass ratio of  $0.41\pm0.07$ .
- Spectral classification B1.5–B2 III implies **10–16 solar masses** for Be star.
- > This yields a **companion star of 3.8–6.9 solar masses**, implying a BH.
- Spectro-photometric distance is 2.6±0.6 kpc
- > ROSAT provided an X-ray luminosity <  $1.0 \times 10^{32}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> or <  $1.6 \times 10^{-7} L_{Edd}$ .



First Be/BH binary system to be discovered (Casares et al. 2014).

**Binary population synthesis models** predict a high number of Be/NS systems and a low number of Be/BH systems. **The ratio of Be/NS to Be/BH varies between 10 and 50** depending on the survival after the Common Envelope phase and on the kick velocities for NSs (Belczynski & Ziolkowski 2009).

Formation	Efficiency (%) <sup>a</sup>		(%) <sup>a</sup>	Evolutionary History <sup>b</sup>		
Channel		Model				
	А	(B)	[C]			
BeNS:01	44.2	(41.8)	[45.3]	CE: $a \rightarrow b$ , SN: $a$		
BeNS:02	42.3	(43.9)	[45.0]	CE: $a \rightarrow b$ , NC: $a \rightarrow b$ , SN: $a$		
BeNS:03	11.9	(13.3)	[8.8]	NC:a→b, SN:a		
BeNS:04	1.6	(1.0)	[0.9]	All other		
BeBH:01	79.6	(13.2)	[17.2]	CE:a→b, SN:a		
BeBH:02	19.8	(85.5)	[82.8]	NC:a→b, SN:a		
BeBH:03	0.6	(1.3)	[0.0]	All other		
N <sub>BeNS</sub>	579	(517)	[1578]	Galactic number of NS BeXRBs		
N <sub>BeBH</sub>	82	(19)	[29]	Galactic number of BH BeXRBs		
F <sub>NStoBH</sub>	7	(27)	[54]	Number ratio of NS to BH BeXRBs		

Simulations: Be X-ray Binary Formation Channels

#### survival no survival small kicks Notes.

<sup>a</sup> Efficiency for models with standard kicks ( $\sigma = 265 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) in which survival through a CE phase with an HG donor is allowed (A) and not allowed (B). Model C shows results for evolution with small kicks ( $\sigma = 133 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) and the survival in CE with HG donors is not allowed.

**Binary population synthesis models** predict a high number of Be/NS systems and a low number of Be/BH systems. **The ratio of Be/NS to Be/BH varies between 10 and 50** depending on the survival after the Common Envelope phase and on the kick velocities for NSs (Belczynski & Ziolkowski 2009).

In 2014 there were **81 BeXBs known in the Galaxy with 48 pulsating NS**. The discovery of a BH companion to MWC 656 appears consistent with these model predictions, but there are possible **biases**:

X-ray spectra of the remaining BeXBs, when available, suggest NSs.
 MWC 656 has been identified through a claimed gamma-ray flare and not by its X-ray activity.

Implication: the **discovery of Be/BHs seems observationally biased**, in which case **common envelope mergers** would be **less frequent** than commonly assumed **and/or NS kicks** would be best described by the **radio pulsar birth velocity distribution (Casares et al. 2014)**. Models need to be tuned.



Binary population synthesis models (StarTrack) to:

- **Understand the formation channel** of MWC 656.
- > Constrain the **population of Be/BH systems**.
- > Study the fate of MWC 656 as a possible NS-BH merger.

Assumption: all donors beyond main sequence are allowed to survive the Common Envelope phase (Grudzinska et al. 2015).

#### **Present**→**future**:



(Grudzinska et al. 2015)



Binary population synthesis models (StarTrack) to:

- **Understand the formation channel** of MWC 656.
- > Constrain the **population of Be/BH systems**.
- > Study the fate of MWC 656 as a possible NS-BH merger.

Assumption: all donors beyond main sequence are allowed to survive the Common Envelope phase (Grudzinska et al. 2015).

Total number of Be/BH systems formed over entire 10 Gyr of evolution of the Galactic disk is  $1.4x10^4$ . Only 13 of them have periods, eccentricities and masses similar to MWC 656.

The simulated number of Be/BH systems at present is 26, but **only 0.007** with properties **similar to MWC 656** (probability 1%).

The detection of **gravitational waves** is possible for Advanced LIGO and Virgo, with **detection rate** at the level of  $0.1\pm0.1$  yr<sup>-1</sup> (Grudzinska et al. 2015).

#### **HE and VHE observations**

- > AGILE claimed a detection above 5 sigma, and a flux above 1.5 x  $10^{-6}$  ph/cm<sup>2</sup>/s (E > 100 MeV) (Lucarelli et al. 2010).
- Fermi/LAT provided an upper limit one order of magnitude smaller (http://fermisky.blogspot.com.es/2010/07/extra-note-july-30-2010.html).
- A study of the *Fermi* data shows an **enhancement of signal** during the *AGILE* detection, nearly reaching a 3σ deviation with respect to the median (Alexander & McSwain 2015).



#### **HE and VHE observations**



Results of *AGILE* from 2007 April until December 2013 (~7 yr):

- > Nine other transient events compatible in position with AGL J2241+4454.
- **Hint of long-term GeV variability (Munar-Adrover et al. 2016).**
- Stacking: 100 MeV to 1 GeV spectrum; fit with power law with  $\Gamma = 2.3$ .
- > No source in *Fermi*/LAT data, but less exposed and significantly off-axis.



#### **HE and VHE observations**

MWC 656 was observed at VHE gamma-rays for 23 hours with MAGIC:

➤ In 2012 using a single MAGIC telescope.

> In 2013 using both telescopes and simultaneous to the *XMM* observations. Differential **upper limits** have been obtained in all cases, at the level of 5% of the Crab Nebula flux (Aleksic et al. 2015).



We have neither simultaneous nor contemporaneous data at other wavelengths during the *AGILE* flare. Therefore, we can speculate.

The *AGILE* flare could be the result of accretion/ejection processes like a **sudden accretion event, magnetic reconnection in the jet, strong shock in the jet,** etc. However, these phenomena can also happen in BH LMXBs such as GRS 1915+105 or many others in outburst, **but no GeV emission has ever been detected from LMXBs**.

On the other hand, **similar transient GeV phenomena** seem to take place in the BH HMXB **Cygnus X-1** (as seen by *AGILE* but not by *Fermi*). A difference between LMXBs and HMXBs is the **powerful wind of the massive companion**, which could play a role in sudden accretion events, shocks in the jet and reconnection events, etc., in addition to a higher UV photon flux useful for IC scattering emission. However, **these ideas are just speculation**.

**Another option: the** *AGILE* **GeV flare has nothing to do with MWC 656**, but it is just a transient event of unknown nature in the field of MWC 656.



After 5 years of observations...

The FeII emission lines from the decretion disk of the Be star show a decreasing/increasing trend in the systemic velocity, with a possible long-term periodicity of ~7.6 years! (Casares et al., in prep.).





After 5 years of observations...

The FeII emission lines from the decretion disk of the Be star show a decreasing/increasing trend in the systemic velocity, with a possible long-term periodicity of ~7.6 years! (Casares et al., in prep.).



The HeII emission lines from the accretion disk show a similar trend with lower amplitude.

Long-term periodicity related to **Be decretion disk**: probably inhomogeneous warped precessing disk.

Produces a **photometric variability with a saw-tooth pattern**. More relevant in redder filters.

Widely observed in low-mass X-ray binaries: the low-mass star perturbs the accretion disk around the BH (e.g., Zurita et al. 2002 for XTE J1118+480).



In MWC 656 the **BH perturbs the disk of the Be** star. This is the first time this phenomenon is observed.



# A factor of 3 more variability in *I* than in *B*.

This could indicate that outer parts (redder) are more variable than inner ones (bluer).

# But: disk contribution varies as a function of $\lambda$ .

Therefore, the variability is always around 3-4% of the disk flux.

(Martí-Devesa 2017).



		BB at 15300 K			
Filter	$A/S_{\rm T}~(\%)$	$S_{\rm D}/S_{ m T}~(\%)$	$A/S_{\rm D}~(\%)$		
B	$0.43\pm0.07$	$12.8\pm0.2$	$3.4 \pm 0.6$		
V	$0.62\pm0.05$	$20.5 \pm 0.2$	$3.0 \pm 0.3$		
$R_{\rm c}$	$0.89 \pm 0.06$	$23.1\pm0.1$	$3.9 \pm 0.3$		
Ic	$1.26\pm0.06$	$41.9\pm0.1$	$3.0 \pm 0.2$		

# A factor of 3 more variability in *I* than in *B*.

This could indicate that outer parts (redder) are more variable than inner ones (bluer).

# But: disk contribution varies as a function of $\lambda$ .

Therefore, the variability is always around 3-4% of the disk flux.

(Martí-Devesa 2017).



		BB at 15300 K			
Filter	$A/S_{\rm T}~(\%)$	$S_{\mathrm{D}}/S_{\mathrm{T}}~(\%)$	$A/S_{\rm D}~(\%)$		
B	$0.43\pm0.07$	$12.8\pm0.2$	$3.4 \pm 0.6$		
V	$0.62\pm0.05$	$20.5\pm0.2$	$3.0 \pm 0.3$		
$R_{\rm c}$	$0.89 \pm 0.06$	$23.1\pm0.1$	$3.9 \pm 0.3$		
Ic	$1.26\pm0.06$	$41.9\pm0.1$	$3.0 \pm 0.2$		

Radial velocities from different lines:

- Photospheric HeI absorption lines
- Accretion disk HeII emission line
- Decretion disk FeII emission lines



Radial velocities from different lines:

- Photospheric HeI absorption lines
- Accretion disk HeII emission line
- Decretion disk FeII emission lines

#### Casares et al. (2012)



Radial velocities from different lines:

- Photospheric HeI absorption lines
- Accretion disk HeII emission line
- Decretion disk FeII emission lines



Casares et al. (2014)

Radial velocities from different lines:

- Photospheric HeI absorption lines
- Accretion disk HeII emission line
- Decretion disk FeII emission lines



Casares et al. in prep.

Radial velocities from a **photospheric HeI absorption line** data obtained with a few months of Nordic Optical Telescope high-resolution spectroscopy. The **orbit is clearly eccentric**!  $e \sim 0.4$ ,  $w = 306 \pm 17^{\circ}$ ,  $\gamma = -50 \pm 2$  km/s.



Radial velocities from a **photospheric HeI absorption line** data obtained with a few months of Nordic Optical Telescope high-resolution spectroscopy. The **orbit is clearly eccentric**!  $e \sim 0.4$ ,  $w = 306 \pm 17^{\circ}$ ,  $\gamma = -50 \pm 2$  km/s.



Radial velocities from the HeII emission line from the accretion disk obtained with different telescopes.

The orbit is slightly eccentric only.  $e \sim 0.1$ ,  $w = 103 \pm 21^{\circ}$ ,  $\gamma = -38 \pm 2$  km/s.

- $\blacktriangleright$  Double-line fit fixing the orbital period and imposing an eccentricity of 0.2.
- > The mass ratio is  $0.40\pm14$ , and the **BH mass is 3.0–7.4 solar masses**.
- ➤ The inclination is found to be 53°.
- > These values should improve with more high-resolution observations.



To search for new Be/BH binary systems we have searched for the signature of the HeII 4686 A emission line in a catalogue of 600 classic Be stars (http://basebe.obspm.fr/basebe).

We are now studying the variability of this emission line, and found at least a very good candidate with a period of  $\sim$ 70 d in both radial velocities and optical photometry.





- ➢ We have discovered the first Be/BH binary system after an AGILE alert.
- Be/BH binaries may be more abundant than predicted by Binary Population Synthesis models.
- Be/BH binaries may evolve into close BH/NS binaries that would emit GWs during coalescence detectable by LIGO/Virgo in nearby galaxies.
- Fermi/LAT has only provided upper limits. AGILE data show other possible periods of activity and hints of long-term GeV variability.
- There is a clear long-term variability in radial velocities and optical photometry. We have discovered superhump variability in this system.
- > New orbital ephemerides indicate  $e \sim 0.4$  (will be published soon).
- > More systems to be discovered. Is there a population of hidden black holes?



© Gabriel Pérez – SMM (IAC)

#### Details on the future evolutionary channels (Grudzinska et al., 2015):

Table 2.	Future	evolution	of	MWC	656-like	$binaries^a$
----------	--------	-----------	----	-----	----------	--------------

Channel $f$	f	Evolutionary history <sup><math>b</math></sup>	$\mathbf{Mergers}^{c}$	$\operatorname{Fate}^d$ (BH–NS):		
	Jform		CE/RLOF	Close	Wide	Disrupted
BeBH:1a BeBH:1b	$15.4\% \\ 23.1\%$	CE1(4-1) SN1 MT2(14-2) MT2(14-9) ECSN2 CE1(4-1) SN1 MT2(14-2) SN2	0% 0%	$0\% \\ 0\%$	$15.4\% \\ 0.5\%$	$0\% \\ 22.6\%$
BeBH:2a BeBH:2b	7.7% 53.8%	CE1(4-1) SN1 CE2(14-4) MT2(14-7) SN2 CE1(4-1) SN1 CE2(14-2) MT2(14-7) SN2	$0\% \\ 38.4\%$	5.6% 10.7%	$0.7\%\ 1.3\%$	$1.4\% \\ 3.4\%$

<sup>a</sup> We list only formation channels of MWC 656-like systems which are defined by Eq. 4.

<sup>b</sup> Sequences of different evolutionary stages: CE1 and CE2: common envelope with a primary and secondary as a donor, respectively; MT2: non-conservative mass transfer with a secondary as a donor; SN1 and SN2: type Ib/c supernova of the primary (black hole formation) and secondary (neutron star formation), respectively; ECSN2: electron capture supernova of secondary (neutron star formation).

Numbers in parenthesis denote evolutionary stage of primary–secondary: 1 - main sequence, 2 - Hertzsprung gap, 4 - core helium burning, 7 - helium main sequence, 9 - helium giant branch, 13 - neutron star, 14 - black hole.

 $^c\,$  This is probability that two binary components merge in RLOF or CE events that are encountered between the two SNe events.

 $^{d}$  Outcome of future evolution of MWC 656-like systems; close (delay time from ZAMS to BH and NS merger shorter than 10 Gyr) or wide BH–NS systems or disrupted BH and NS objects may form.