Pulsar/Stellar wind collision in 3D and The origin of the X-ray-emitting object moving away from PSR B1259-63

Barkov M.V. Purdue University, USA ABBL RIKEN, Japan

Theoretical slides

Numerical slides

Observational slides

The origin of the Xray-emitting object moving away from PSR B1259-63

(Pavlov et al 2015)

 L_{sd} =8×10³⁵ erg/s L_x =10³¹ erg/s

If it is thermal X-ray: $M_c = 10^{29} \text{ g}$ $T_{orb} dM_{wind}/dt < 10^{26} \text{ g}$



The origin of the Xray-emitting object moving away from PSR B1259-63



Linear fit: V = (0.07+/-0.01)c



Between 3rd and 4th observations the extended structure moved by $2.5'' \pm 0.5''$.

This corresponds to the apparent proper motion

V=(0.13±0.03)c at d = 2.3 kpc

Apparent acceleration (?) 90±40 cm s⁻²

Binary Systems in VHE Regime

Object	PSR B1259	LS 5039	J0632	J1086	LS I +61 303	Cyg X-1
Туре	O8.5e+Pulsar	06.5+?	Be+?	06+?	Be+?	O9+BH
L _s , erg/s	3×10^{37}	7×10^{38}	10 ³⁸	7 × 10 ³⁸	10 ³⁸	1.3 × 10 ³⁹
Orbit Size, cm	$10^{13} - 10^{14}$	$10^{12} - 3 \times 10^{12}$	$10^{13} - 7 \times 10^{13}$	~10 ¹³	$2 \times 10^{12} - 10^{13}$	3×10^{12}
Eccentricity	0.87	0.24	0.83	0.25?	0.72	0
Inclination	35	10-75	10?	???	~30	~30
HE Instrument	EGRET Fermi	EGRET Fermi	-	Fermi	EGRET Fermi	AGILE
GeV detection	LC+Spctr	LC+Spctr	-	LC+Spctr	LC+Spctr	Point
VHE Instrument	HESS	HESS	HESS, MAGIC VERITAS	HESS	MAGIC VERITAS	MAGIC
TeV detection	13σ	~1000	~100	~100	~100	4σ
signal	periodic	Periodic, variable	periodic	periodic	Periodic, variable	flare

Stellar wind collision

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\rm WR} &= \frac{1}{1 + \eta^{1/2}} D, \quad r_{\rm OB} = \frac{\eta^{1/2}}{1 + \eta^{1/2}} D \\ \eta &= \frac{\dot{M}_{\rm OB} V_{\rm OB}^{\infty}}{\dot{M}_{\rm WR} V_{\rm WR}^{\infty}} \quad \text{(non-relativistic)} \end{aligned}$$

cally by Girard & Willson (1987). The results of the calculations may be approximated by the following analytic equation (L. M. Ozernoy 1991, private communication)

$$\theta \simeq 2.1 \left(1 - \frac{\eta^{2/5}}{4} \right) \eta^{1/3} \text{ for } 10^{-4} \le \eta \le 1$$
 (3)

Eichler & Usov 1993



Stellar wind collision

(Romero et al. 2007) SPH Newton, LS I+61 303



Stellar wind collision

(Bogovalov et al. 2008,2012) 2D RHD, RMHD







How to form back shock without orbital motion? • $\eta = 0.001$ $\eta = 0.05$







Density Γ = 2; η = 0.6

(Bosch-Ramon, MVB, Khangulyan and Perucho 2012) 2D RHD, PLUTO with AMR Chombo



 $=\frac{L_{sd}}{\dot{M}v_wc}$

KHI in pulsar stellar wind collision region:

Density Γ = 2; η = 0.3

Density Γ = 10; η = 0.3



Density and velosity Γ = 10; η = 0.3

(Bosch-Ramon, MVB, Khangulyan and Perucho 2012) RHD





The Coriolis force trigger of RTI and RMI!

In the co rotating CS Coriolis force produce acceleration $a=2(v_w \times \Omega)$

Normal star wind Idensel

Pulsar wind tranfied)

Four velocity.

3D run with Γ = 2; η = 0.1

PLUTO non uniform grid





3D run Γ= 2; η = 0.1

density and stream lines.





The first hydro and radiation simulations:

Dubus et al 2015

Artificial back shock with η=0.1. Energy budget is 0.1 of observed one.







Comparison of the 3D case and 2D cases with different resolution. 3D

Density presented in the XY plane.







2D

2Dx4

2D Γ= 2; η = 0.3with high resolution in a large domain, density in XY plane.



The origin of the X-ray-emitting object moving away from PSR B1259-63 in (3-1)D and more

PSR B1259-63





The origin of the Xray-emitting object moving away from PSR B1259-63

(Pavlov et al 2015)



Linear fit: V = (0.07 + / -0.01)c



Between 3rd and 4th observations the extended structure moved by $2.5'' \pm 0.5''$.

This corresponds to the apparent proper motion

V=(0.13±0.03)c at d = 2.3 kpc

Apparent acceleration (?) 90±40 cm s⁻²









HESS J0632+57

Bosch-Ramon, Barkov, Mignne and Bordas (submitted to MNRAS)

- T=321 day
- e=0.83







Binary Systems in VHE Regime

Object	PSR B1259	LS 5039	J0632	J1086	LS I +61 303	Cyg X-1
Туре	O8.5e+Pulsar	06.5+?	Be+Pulsar?	06+?	Be+?	O9+BH
L _s , erg/s	3×10^{37}	7×10^{38}	10 ³⁸	7×10^{38}	10 ³⁸	1.3 × 10 ³⁹
Orbit Size, cm	$10^{13} - 10^{14}$	$10^{12} - 3 \times 10^{12}$	$10^{13} - 7 \times 10^{13}$	~10 ¹³	$2 \times 10^{12} - 10^{13}$	3×10^{12}
Eccentricity	0.87	0.24	0.83	0.25?	0.72	0
Inclination	35	10-75	10?	???	~30	~30
HE Instrument	EGRET Fermi	EGRET Fermi	-	Fermi	EGRET Fermi	AGILE
GeV detection	LC+Spctr	LC+Spctr	-	LC+Spctr	LC+Spctr	Point
VHE Instrument	HESS	HESS	HESS, MAGIC VERITAS	HESS	MAGIC VERITAS	MAGIC
TeV detection	13σ	~1000	~100	~100	~100	4σ
signal	periodic	Periodic, variable	periodic	periodic	Periodic, variable	flare

Conclusions:



- First 3D RHD simulations of stellar and pulsar wind collision confirm that the interaction of stellar and pulsar winds yields structures that evolve non-linearly and get strongly entangled.
- Large scale simulations show that spiral arms loose their integrity on scales about 300a.
- Orbital eccentricity leads to variation of the Coriolis turnover tail size.

•
$$x \sim \frac{3\eta^{1/2} v_W}{2\Omega}$$

- The X-ray transient observed in PSR 1259 can be explained as result of pulsar and stellar winds interaction on the eccentric binary system.
- the non-thermal activity before and around apastron can be linked to the accumulation of non-thermal particles in the vicinity of the binary, and the sudden drop of the emission before apastron is produced by the disruption of the two-wind interaction structure.

Thank you for attention!



⁽Khangulyan et al 2012)

(MVB & Khangulyan 2012)

Jet lunched by spherical accretion of magnetized wind to rotating BH



0

2

(MVB & Khangulyan 2012)

7/5/2017

Jet formation from spherical accretion of magnetized wind to rotating BH

(MVB & Khangulyan 2012)

LS I +61 303

HESS J0632

PSR 1259

1FGL J1018

Effect of eccentric orbit

Preliminary

• T=16 day

• e=0.0

Pseudocolor Aseuce Var: rho 1.00e+02 Preliminary 600 FGL J1086 & LSI +61 303 - 3.16e-01 1.00e-03 400 3.16e-06 • T=16 day 1.00e-08 200-• e=0.5 e=0.50 [a] 0.3 ■s1t=1.08×10⁵ R 0.25 $s1 t=1.04 \times 10^5$ Vector Var: V _____ 1.0 $s2 t = 1.08 \times 10^5$ IN •••s3 t=1.08×10⁵ -200-0.2 1 1 <V_< [c] 0.75 0.15 0.50 -400 0.25 0.1 0.0 0.05 -600 200 400 -600 -200 -400 0 Ó X [a] 100 200 300 400 500 600 0 R [a]

6**0**0

7/5/2017

Preliminary

FGL J1086 & LSI +61 303

- T=16 day
- e=0.0-0.75

0

0

0.2

0.4

е

0.6

0.8

Density and tracer (Newton HD)

(Lamberts et al. 2012) Outflow is stable.

Density Γ = 2; η = 0.6

(Bosch-Ramon, MVB, Khangulyan and Perucho 2012) RHD

Density Γ = 2; η = 0.3

(Bosch-Ramon, MVB, Khangulyan and Perucho 2012) 2D RHD, PLUTO with AMR Chombo

 $\eta = \frac{L_{sd}}{\dot{M}v_w c}$

(Lamberts et al. 2013) RHD Outflow is unstable.

The origin of the Xray-emitting object moving away from PSR B1259-63

(Pavlov et al 2015)

VGGRS, Rikkyo University

H.E.S.S.

The best studied system in VHE is LS5039

Light curve formation

(Zabalza et al 2013)

