Turbulent magnetic field

Minimal heating scenario

Relations between SSC components

SSC parameter space

Two-photon selfabsorption

Concluding remarks Possible regimes of synchrotron and inverse Compton radiation in relativistic flows and shocks

E. Derishev

Variable Galactic Gamma-Ray Sources V, Barcelona 2019

・ロト ・ 御 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・

Outline

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

Regimes of Sy and IC radiation

Turbulent magnetic field

Minimal heating scenario

Relations between SSC components

SSC parameter space

Two-photor selfabsorption

Concluding remarks

1 Turbulent magnetic field

2 Minimal heating scenario

3 Relations between SSC components

4 SSC parameter space

5 Two-photon self-absorption

6 Concluding remarks

Turbulent magnetic field

Synchrotron spectrum

Uniform magnetic field

Synchrotron spectral power:

 $P_{\omega} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \frac{e^3 B}{m_e c^2} F\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_c}\right)$

・ロト ・ 御 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・

Here

SSC parameter space

Two-photon selfabsorption

Concluding remarks

$$\omega_c = \frac{3}{2}\gamma^2 \frac{eB}{m_e c}$$

$$F(x) = x \int_{x}^{\infty} K_{5/3}(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi$$

 $K_{5/3}(\xi)$ is modified Bessel function of the second kind pitch angle $\pi/2$

Turbulent magnetic field

- Minimal heating scenario
- Relations between SSC components
- SSC parameter space

Two-photon selfabsorption

Concluding remarks

Synchrotron spectrum

Turbulent magnetic field

- Averaging over pitch angles and local magnetic field strengths is needed
- Gaussian distribution of local magnetic field strengths and isotropic distribution over pitch angles is a natural option

Gaussian-distributed magnetic field

Photon number per spectral interval:

$$N_{\omega} \equiv \frac{P_{\omega}}{\hbar\omega} = \frac{\alpha}{3} \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x^{2/3}} \right) \exp\left(-2x^{2/3}\right)$$

Here $x = \frac{\omega}{\omega_0}, \qquad \omega_0 = \frac{4}{3} \gamma^2 \frac{eB_{\rm rms}}{m_e c}$

Derishev & Aharonian arXiv:1907.11663

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ 日ト ・ 日 ・

Turbulent magnetic field

Minimal heating scenario

Relations between SSC components

SSC parameter space

Two-photon selfabsorption

Concluding remarks

Synchrotron peak position

Balance of acceleration and radiative losses

- Electron acceleration rate $\dot{\epsilon}_{acc} = eE_{eff} c \equiv \eta eBc$ (in case of shock acceleration $\eta \simeq U_{sh}^2/c^2$)
- Power of synchrotron radiation

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{loss} = rac{4}{9}\gamma_e^2 \left(rac{e^2}{m_ec^2}
ight)^2 B^2 c$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

• balance
$$\dot{\epsilon}_{loss} = \dot{\epsilon}_{acc} \Rightarrow \epsilon_{sy} \simeq \eta \frac{m_e c^2}{\alpha}$$

Turbulent magnetic field

Minimal heating scenario

Relations between SSC components

- SSC parameter space
- Two-photon selfabsorption

Concluding remarks





Synchrotron peak position

- acceleration efficiency $\eta \sim \frac{\lambda_B}{r_{\rm g}} \ll 1 \label{eq:entropy}$
- For $\lambda_B < r_g/\gamma$ transition to undulator regime with $\omega \propto \lambda_B^{-1}$

Derishev, ApSS 2007

"Minimal heating" scenario: small-scale magnetic field decays and heats electrons

Minimal heating scenario

Anticipated effective electric field (quick and dirty estimate)

Magnetic field decay time

- decay time (kinetic damping): $\tau \sim \frac{1}{\omega_p} \left(\frac{\lambda_{\rm B} \omega_p}{c} \right)^3$
- dynamical balance: $\tau \sim R/V$

Maxwell equation for electric field $\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \eta \sim \frac{\lambda_{\rm B}}{c\tau}$

Relate turbulence spatial scale to plasma frequency
$$\lambda_{\rm B} \sim \left(\frac{Rc^3}{\omega_p^2 V}\right)^{1/3} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \eta \sim (\omega_p \tau)^{-2/3}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

Turbulent magnetic field

Minimal heating scenario

Relations between SSC components

SSC parameter space

Two-photon selfabsorption

Concluding remarks

Application to real sources

Approximate relations

- Plasma frequency: $\omega_p^2 = \frac{4\pi e^2 N}{m_p}$
- Energy density: $w \simeq NT$
- Temperature: $T \simeq m_p V^2$
- Luminosity: $L \simeq 4\pi R^2 wV$

Order-of-magnitude estimate

$$\eta \sim \left(\frac{m_p^2 c^5}{e^2}\right)^{1/3} \frac{(V/c)^{5/3}}{L^{1/3}} \simeq 1.4 \times 10^{-5} \frac{(V/c)^{5/3}}{L_{38}^{1/3}}$$
that is, $\epsilon_{sy} \sim 1 \text{ keV} \times (V/c)^{5/3} L_{38}^{-1/3}$

Turbulent magnetic field

Minimal heating scenario

Relations between SSC components

SSC parameter space

Two-photon selfabsorption

Concluding remarks

A "spherical cow" emitting zone



・ロト ・ 御 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・

Turbulent magnetic field

Minimal heating scenario

Relations between SSC components

SSC parameter space

Two-photon selfabsorption

Concluding remarks

Relative efficiencies

Compton y parameter
$$y \equiv rac{4}{3}(\gamma^2 - 1)\sigma_{_{
m T}} n_e R$$

Synchrotron radiation

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{energy flux:} & F_{sy} \simeq \frac{2}{3}(\gamma^2 - 1)\sigma_{_{\mathrm{T}}}n_e e_{_{\mathrm{B}}}cR \simeq \frac{1}{2}ye_{_{\mathrm{B}}}c\\ \text{energy density:} & e_{sy} \simeq \frac{\Lambda}{2}ye_{_{\mathrm{B}}} & (\Lambda - \text{geometrical factor}) \end{array}$

self-Compton radiation

energy flux: $F_{\rm IC} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{\rm KN} y e_{\rm SY} c \simeq \kappa_{\rm KN} \frac{\Lambda}{4} y^2 e_{\rm B} c$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Radiative efficiency} & (\mbox{generalization of Sari, Narayan \& Piran 1996}) \\ \mbox{synchrotron efficiency:} & \epsilon_{sy} \equiv F_{sy}/F \simeq y\left(\frac{c}{V}\right)\epsilon_{\rm B} \\ \mbox{self-Compton efficiency:} & \epsilon_{{}_{IC}} \equiv F_{{}_{IC}}/F \simeq \kappa_{{}_{\rm KN}}\frac{\Lambda}{2}y\epsilon_{sy} \\ \mbox{overall efficiency:} & \epsilon_{rad} = \epsilon_{sy} + \epsilon_{{}_{IC}} \simeq \left(y + \kappa_{{}_{\rm KN}}\frac{\Lambda}{2}y^2\right)\left(\frac{c}{V}\right)\epsilon_{\rm B} \end{array}$

Turbulent magnetic field

Minimal heating scenario (

 γ_c

Relations between SSC components

SSC parameter space

Two-photor selfabsorption

Concluding remarks

Synchrotron-self-Compton

Consider injection
$$\dot{N}_{\gamma} \propto \left(rac{\gamma_{m{b}}}{\gamma_{m{b}}+\gamma}
ight)^{m{p}}, \quad m{p}>2$$

is the minimal Lorentz factor such that electrons cool radiatively

 $\gamma_0 \simeq \left(rac{4.4 imes 10^{13} ext{ G}}{B}
ight)^{1/3}$

is the Lorentz factor such that electrons comptonize their own synchrotron radiation at the border of Klein-Nishina regime

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のへで

Turbulent magnetic field

Minimal heating scenario

Relations between SSC components

SSC parameter space

Two-photor selfabsorption

Concluding remarks

Synchrotron-self-Compton regimes

Slow cooling

- $\gamma_c > \gamma_b$ and p > 3 or γ_c is very large
- trivial (but may be technically complex) problem

Fast cooling

- $\gamma_{c} < \gamma_{b}$
- synchrotron component peaks at $\omega_{sy}^{peak} \simeq \gamma_b^2 \frac{eB}{mc}$
- Thomson regime: $\omega_{\rm IC}^{\it peak}\simeq \gamma_b^2\,\omega_{\it sy}^{\it peak}$
- Klein-Nishina regime: $\hbar \omega_{
 m IC}^{peak} \lesssim \gamma_b \ m_e c^2$

Incomplete cooling

- $\gamma_c > \gamma_b$ and p < 3
- model-dependent derivation of spectral shapes

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

Turbulent magnetic field

Minimal heating scenario

Relations between SSC components

SSC parameter space

Two-photon selfabsorption

Concluding remarks

Fast cooling parameter space

Thomson regime Compton dominance

$$\eta_{\rm IC} \equiv {\it F}_{\rm IC}/{\it F}_{\rm sy} \sim {\it y}$$

Klein-Nishina regime

Compton dominance $\eta_{\rm IC} < (\gamma_0/\gamma_b)^{3/2} \, y$

Two-photon self-absorption of IC radiation is inevitable

- small Compton dominance $(y \ll (\gamma_b/\gamma_0)^{3/2}$ for $\gamma_c \lesssim \gamma_0)$
- large Compton dominance $(y \gtrsim (\gamma_b/\gamma_0)^{3/2}$ for $\gamma_c \lesssim \gamma_0)$

What happens due to self-absorption



Regimes of Sy and IC radiation

Turbulent magnetic field

Minimal heating scenario

Relations between SSC components

SSC parameter space

Two-photon selfabsorption

Concluding remarks

Self-absorbed SSC (small Compton dominance)



two-photon absorption taken into account

Turbulent magnetic field

Regimes of Sv and IC

radiation

Minimal heating scenario

Relations between SSC components

SSC parameter space

Two-photon selfabsorption

Concluding remarks

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = 釣��

Self-absorbed SSC

(medium Compton dominance)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@



two-photon absorption taken into account

Turbulent magnetic field

Regimes of Sv and IC

radiation

Minimal heating scenario

Relations between SSC components

SSC parameter space

Two-photon selfabsorption

Concluding remarks

Turbulent magnetic field

Minimal heating scenario

Relations between SSC components

SSC parameter space

Two-photon selfabsorption

Concluding remarks



Self-absorbed SSC

(large Compton dominance)

two-photon absorption taken into account

Turbulent magnetic field

Minimal heating scenario

Relations between SSC components

SSC parameter space

Two-photon selfabsorption

Concluding remarks

What happens due to self-absorption

Small Compton dominance

- Synchrotron peak stays unchanged
- IC peak goes down in amplitude and shifts to smaller frequency

Large Compton dominance

- IC peak broadens and shifts to smaller frequency
- Synchrotron peak goes down in amplitude
- Synchrotron luminosity goes up at frequencies well below the peak

Turbulent magnetic field

Minimal heating scenario

Relations between SSC components

SSC parameter space

Two-photor selfabsorption

Concluding remarks

Complications for real sources

- Real sources don't have to be stationary or quasi-stationary
- There is no clear picture of acceleration mechanism
 ⇒ we can only guess injection function
- Two-photon absorption may trigger converter acceleration
 - \Rightarrow there is back reaction from emission to acceleration
- In extreme accelerators even synchrotron radiation may be two-photon absorbed

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@