



Dynamics and emission of interacting pulsar-stellar winds in a high-mass γ-ray binary

Elina Kefala Valentí Bosch-Ramon Variable Galactic Gamma-Ray Sources (VI) University of Innsbruck, April 12-14 2023

Intro

Gamma-ray binary pulsar scenario

Standard pulsar-wind-powered scenario <u>Two emitting regions:</u> Wind standoff + Coriolis turnover



- HD/MHD simulations

 (Bucciantini et al. 2005; 2008, 2012 Romero et al. 2007; van der Swaluw 2003; Vigelius et al. 2006; Romero et al. 2007; Bogovalov et al. 2008; Barkov et al. 2022)
- Collision of the two winds & formation of two terminating shock fronts and a contact discontinuity (CD)
- Coriolis shock formation in the direction opposite to the star due to orbit-induced mixing and spiraling of the stellar and pulsar winds (Bosch-Ramon et al. 2012, 2015; Dubus et al. 2015)
- Gamma-ray emission from the two interaction regions (e.g., Zabalza et al. 2013; Molina & Bosch-Ramon 2020, for a model of LS 5039
- Focus on leptonic scenarios (Bosch-Ramon & Khangulyan 2009)

(Bosch-Ramon et al. 2015)

Dependence of the region size/shape and of the processes related to the two-wind interaction (particle acceleration, emission) on the orbital phase

Two different locations contributing to particle acceleration and theHE/VHE emission

Pieudocolor 15 16 + 102 10 0.85 0.0046 2.5e - 05 10 1.71.7

<u>LS 5039</u>: O6.5V(f) star, mildly eccentric orbit (~3.9 d; Casares et al., 2005; Sarty et al., 2011) Larger scaling for highly eccentric systems



Intro

Location & orbital scaling of shocks

Intro

Relativistic effects & reacceleration



- Reacceleration of the flow to large Lorentz factors due to adiabatic losses (Bogovalov et al. 2008)
- Significant changes in the Doppler factor → enhancement of the modulation of non-thermal radiation along the orbit

Boosting particularly relevant for emitters at the rarefaction of the shock and for phases at which the Coriolis shock is the farthest



⁽Kefala & Bosch-Ramon in prep.)

Intro

Inhomogeneity of stellar winds

Inhomogeneities in winds of massive stars:

- Radiative instabilities (Lucy & Solomon 1970; Runacres & Owocki 2002; Puls et al. 2006, 2008)
- Fragmentation of circumstellar disks (Okazaki et al. 2011; Chernyakova et al.2014)

Effect on CD and non-thermal emission: (e.g., Bosch-Ramon 2013; Paredes-Fortuny et al. 2015; de la Cita 2017a)

Plots drawn from actual HD simulations → (Kefala & Bosch-Ramon 2022)

Similar treatment:

- Massive star binaries (Pittard 2007)
- Accreting X-ray sources (e.g., Oskinova et al. 2012)
- Microquasars (e.g., Araudo et al. 2009; Owocki et al. 2009; Perucho & Bosch-Ramon 2012; de la Cita et al. 2017b; López-Miralles et al. 2022)





Smooth Wind

CD only Nonrelativistic

- Multi-zone emitter extending to ~1.7*a* Two regimes: *adiabatic* or *radiative*
- No Doppler boosting no Coriolis shock



Emission in the region of interest <u>mainly</u> produced in the radiative regime

INF: inferior conjunction; SUP: superior conjunction



(Kefala & Bosch-Ramon 2022)

Adiabatic regime:

•

- hard synchrotron peaking at soft γ rays
- hard IC softening above $\sim 1-10 \text{ GeV}$ peaking around $\sim 10-100 \text{ GeV}$

Radiative regime:

- hard synchrotron down to \sim 10 eV / broader peak
- moderately hard IC around ~ 0.1 100 GeV (KN) softening above and below (Thomson losses) hard again below ~ 1 MeV (cooled electrons <Emin)

Effect of:

- spatial volume/angular size on normalization
- emitter-pulsar distance on B and on synchrotron X-rays
- emitter-star distance on IC target photons
- angular effects on HE/VHE IC and on VHE γ-ray absorption

5 1–100 keV Adiabatic - Saturation Flux (10⁻¹¹ erg s^{-1} cm⁻²) 2 2 0.1-10 GeV Flux (10⁻¹⁰ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²) 5 3 Bosch-Ramon 2022) 2 >100 GeV -7) Ë erg s⁻¹ 6 ⁻¹¹ = 10⁻¹¹ જ (Kefala 2.0 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 Φ



Smooth stellar wind results consistent with literature:

- X-rays peaking at apastron
- HE/VHE peaking at superior/inferior conjunction
- VHE present a secondary peak before periastron (e.g., Khangulyan et al. 2008; Dubus et al. 2008; Takahashi et al. 2009; Molina & Bosch-Ramon 2020)

Smooth Wind CD only Nonrelativistic

Effect of size of the emitting region:

Emitting regions extending closer (1.4*a*) or farther from the star (2*a*) Larger sizes of the emitting region do not smooth out the relative changes in the flux

Pulsar-to-stellar wind momentum rate ratio: $\eta=0.08$

Clumpy Wind

CD only Nonrelativistic







CD only Nonrelativistic

- Very clumpy → large and rapid variability
 Less clumpy → more sparse large flares
 Least clumpy → identical to smooth wind
- Moderate flux changes:
 ~20% (dense clumps)
 10–100% (top-heavy light clumps)
 <10% (bottom-heavy light clumps)

Variability timescales of $\sim 0.1 - 1$ h.

• The adiabatic light curves present significantly higher variability in the dense clump cases.

• <u>Clump interaction rate</u>: 21 large clumps per orbit Time between interactions $\sim 1.6 \times 10^4$ s Duration of interaction $\sim 6 \times 10^3$ s Single large-clump interaction $\Rightarrow 40\%$ of the time Simultaneous interactions $\Rightarrow \approx 14\%$ of the time



Smooth Wind

CD+Coriolis shocks Relativistic case

35

34

33

32

31

30

-5

2

– η_B=0.1

 $\eta_{\rm B}=1$

0

5

ε

10

VERY PRELIMINARY RESULTS

- Multi-zone emitter including the CD and Coriolis shocks Accounting for relativistic effects *Radiative* regime
- Probing for different parameters (particle acceleration, magnetization, etc.) to explain the observed features
- GeV from the bow shock TeV from the Coriolis shock (Zabalza et al. 2013; Takata et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2017)
- Overall patterns similar to non-relativistic results but certain features enhanced by Doppler boosting

INF

35

34

33

32

31

30

-5

 $\eta_{\rm B}=0.1$

 $\eta_{\rm B}=1$

0

5

ε

10





SUP 2

CLUMPS

- Smooth stellar wind models are sufficient most of the times
- Clear clump-induced variability in X-rays and HE–VHE gamma-rays
- Wind-clump interactions consistent with variability features; e.g., short-term X-ray variability in LS 5039 (Yoneda et al. 2023) and gamma-ray flare activity from PSR B1259-63 (Chernyakova et al. 2014)
- Current and future instrumentation (e.g., Cherenkov Array Telescope) could detect relative short-term variability in X-rays and VHE gamma rays potentially induced by clumps
- CORIOLIS
 - Adequate treatment of relativistic effects is necessary
 - Boosting is important for extended emitting regions and emitters at the outer parts of interacting regions (e.g., rarefaction of the bow shock)

OVERALL

- Predicted luminosities are similar to those of LS 5039
- Flexibility of the approach allows us to quickly probe the parameter space,
 include non-trivial physical effects, and evaluate the veracity of the model

Key

Points