





Gamma-ray Novae

C. C. Teddy Cheung

Naval Research Laboratory on behalf of the Fermi-LAT collaboration

Thanks: Pierre Jean, Steve Shore, Tyrel Johnson, Sara Buson

Research supported by NASA DPR S-15633-Y



Compact cataclysmic variable:

WD + Main Sequence

Roche lobe overflow



Hydrogen burning in degenerate conditions on top of the white dwarf

• separations, a ~ 10^{11} cm ~ R_{\odot}

• P_{orb}~ hr-day

Gamma-ray Space Telescope

- P_{rec} >~ 10⁴ yr
- rate ~ 30 50 / yr in Galaxy

• a ~ 100's *R*⊙

- P_{orb} ~ years
- P_{rec} < 100 yrs
- ~10 known symbiotic-recurrents

Credit: David Hardy

Symbiotic system:

Massive WD + Red Giant

accretion from red giant wind

Symbiotic-Recurrent novae

Adapted from M. Hernanz, X-ray Universe 2011 talk

Fermi-LAT >0.1 GeV Novae: 2008 - 2015

Status ca. 2017, Variable Galactic Gamma-Ray Sources (IV), Tokyo



Compact cataclysmic variable:

Gamma-ray Space Telescope

WD + Main Sequence

Roche lobe overflow



Hydrogen burning in degenerate conditions on top of the white dwarf accretion from red giant wind

Symbiotic system:

Massive WD + Red Giant



- V1324 Sco 2012, V959 Mon 2012, V339 Del 2013 (Ackermann+14)
- V1369 Cen 2013, V5668 Sgr 2015 (Cheung+16)
- Three additional novae in 2016 (in Atels)

- Symbiotic V407 Cyg 2010 (Abdo+10)
- Symb.-rec. V745 Sco 2014 2σ (Cheung+14 Atel)
- Symbiotic V1535 Sco 2015 2σ (Franckowiak+18)

Note later: Recurrent, non-symbiotic KT Eri 2009, T Pyx 2011, U Sco 2010 (2022) were not detected (Franckowiak+18)



Fermi-LAT search of 75 Galactic novae from Aug 2008-Dec 2015
 LAT detected 0-2 each year, 6 total (average ~1 per year)



Modern optical/IR surveys continue to detect 7-17 novae per year (average ~10 per year)

Overall Galactic nova rate ~30-50 per year based on recent optical, near-IR, and mid-IR transient surveys (ASAS-SN, OGLE-IV, Palomar-IR, NEOWISE; Mroz et al. 2015, De et al. 2020, Kawash et al. 2021, 2022); narrower range than early estimates (Shafter 1997, 2017)





- Are all novae GeV gamma-ray sources ?
- Symbiotics (and recurrents) as keystone systems ?
- Are the γ-ray shock acceleration sites identifiable at lower-energies ?
 - e.g., Aydi+20, Chomiuk+22; B. Reville (this meeting)
- Role of future VHE observations, particularly with CTA ?
 - A. Aguasca-Cabot, VHE talks (this meeting)



Bayesian distances to 402 Galactic novae using Gaia DR3 parallax (74 "good", i.e., with <30% uncertainty) and non-parallax distance measures (Schaefer 2022)</p>

124 novae occurred in Fermi-era (2008 – 2021.6)

Derived 220 distances with <30% uncertainty</p>

Two *observed* populations:

~40% in Galactic Bulge (D ~ 8.0 +/ 0.8 kpc); rest in Galactic Disk (scale height 140 +/-10 pc), i.e., the local population to Earth

Symbiotic (red giant) systems are relatively rare in the disc population (5 +/- 2 %) vs. the bulge population (35 +/- 8%) – no simple explanation

Otherwise, populations indistinguishable (e.g., absolute optical mag, lightcurve decline times, optical spectral types)



Total N =19 LAT detections from 2008 - 2023

Majority (N=15) of LAT detections are in the Galactic disk (2/15 are seen through the sightline of the bulge)

4 LAT detections in the Galactic Bulge (including symbiotic recurrent nova V3890 Sgr)

* Triangles placed using powerpoint





N=19 LAT detections, 0-3 novae each year, average ~1.3 per year

Nova	D	t^{a}_{γ}	$\Gamma^{\rm b}$	$E_{\rm c}^{\rm c}$	F^{d}_{γ}	Refs	
	(kpc)	(days)		(GeV)	$(10^{-7} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$		
V407 Cyg 2010	3.5 ± 0.3	22	1.3 ± 0.2	2.0 ± 0.5	3.5 ± 0.4	1,14,20	
V1324 Sco 2012	7.1 – 8.6	17	1.9 ± 0.2	7.7 ± 4.7	4.4 ± 0.9	2,14,21	
V959 Mon 2012	2.5 – 4.1	22	1.5 ± 0.3	1.3 ± 0.5	2.6 ± 0.5	2,14,22	2008 - 2015
V339 Del 2013	1.3 – 2.9	27	1.7 ± 0.2	3.0 ± 1.8	1.5 ± 0.2	2,14,23	
V1369 Cen 2013	0.53 – 1.0	39	2.0 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 1.0	2.5 ± 0.5	3,14,24	
V5668 Sgr 2015	1.0 – 1.9	55	2.1 ± 0.1	-	0.6 ± 0.1	3,14,5	"First" six
$V407 Lup 2016^{e}$	2.3 - 4.7	3	2.2 ± 0.3	-	1.6 ± 0.7	4,5	
V5855 Sgr 2016	7.3 – 8.8	26	2.3 ± 0.1	-	3.0 ± 0.8	6	
$V5856 \ Sgr \ 2016^{f}$	2.3 - 6.0	15	1.9 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 2.6	5.4 ± 0.5	7,5	
$V549 Vel \ 2017^{g}$	1.8 – 5.1	33	1.8 ± 0.2	-	0.4 ± 0.2	8,5	2016 - 2020
V357 Mus 2018	2.5 – 5.1	27	2.2 ± 0.1	-	1.3 ± 0.2	5	2010 2020
V906 Car 2018 ^h	2.9 – 7.6	$> 20^{i}$	1.8 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 1.1	12.2 ± 0.4	9	From
V392 Per 2018	3.1 – 4.2	$\gtrsim 8^{j}$	2.0 ± 0.1	-	2.2 ± 0.4	10,25	Chamiula 21
V1707 Sco 2019	7.3 – 8.8	5	2.1 ± 0.2	-	2.9 ± 1.0	11,12	
YZ Ret 2020	2.2 – 2.6	18	2.2 ± 0.1	-	2.6 ± 0.2	12,13,26	ARAA
V1405 Cas 2021	1.6 – 1.8						2021 - onward
V1674 Her 2021	2.5 – 5.4						2021 - <u>Unwaru</u>
RS Oph 2021	2.6 – 2.9						

2022 and 2023 - none

V3890 Sgr 2019 8.1 – 9.1

See Koji Mukai's updated list: https://asd.gsfc.nasa.gov/Koji.Mukai/novae/novae.html

Gamma-ray Space Telescope



N=19 LAT detections, 0-3 novae each year, average ~1.3 per year



Space Telescope



N=19 LAT detections, 0-3 novae each year, average ~1.3 per year







Observational Summary

LAT-detected novae in Galactic disc tend to be closest and brightest !

In fact, LAT detected 13/17 of the optically brightest novae during Fermi era; exceptions are:

```
two recurrent novae: KT Eri (V = 5.4), T Pyx (V = 6.4)
FM Cir 2018 (V = 5.9) – larger end of distance
```

range?

■ V5583 Sgr 2009 (V = 7) viewed through the Galactic bulge

Note, two nearby, D ~ 1 kpc systems (not shown in plots) have ill-defined explosion dates (HV Cet 2008, V1375 Cen 2010)

V549 Vel 2017 is fainter both optically (V = 9.1, D ~ 2-5 kpc) and in gamma rays, and is one of the least luminous gamma-ray novae (Li et al. 2020)

4 LAT detections (including symbiotic-recurrent V3890 Sgr) are in the Galactic bulge with range of V peaks consistent with general population







N=19 LAT detections, 0-3 novae each year, average ~1.3 per year

Nova	D	+a	Гb	Ec	F^{d}	Refs	
11014	(kpc)	(days)		(GeV)	$(10^{-7} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	1015	
V407 Cyg 2010	3.5 ± 0.3	22	1.3 ± 0.2	2.0 ± 0.5	3.5 ± 0.4	1,14,20	
V1324 Sco 2012	7.1 – 8.6	17	1.9 ± 0.2	7.7 ± 4.7	4.4 ± 0.9	2,14,21	
V959 Mon 2012	2.5 – 4.1	22	1.5 ± 0.3	1.3 ± 0.5	2.6 ± 0.5	2,14,22	2008 - 2015
V339 Del 2013	1.3 – 2.9	27	1.7 ± 0.2	3.0 ± 1.8	1.5 ± 0.2	2,14,23	
V1369 Cen 2013	0.53 – 1.0	39	2.0 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 1.0	2.5 ± 0.5	3,14,24	
V5668 Sgr 2015	1.0 – 1.9	55	2.1 ± 0.1	-	0.6 ± 0.1	3,14,5	"First" six
$V407 Lup 2016^{e}$	2.3 - 4.7	3	2.2 ± 0.3	-	1.6 ± 0.7	4,5	
V5855 Sgr 2016	7.3 – 8.8	26	2.3 ± 0.1	-	3.0 ± 0.8	6	
$V5856 \ Sgr \ 2016^{f}$	2.3 - 6.0	15	1.9 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 2.6	5.4 ± 0.5	7,5	
$V549 Vel \ 2017^{g}$	1.8 – 5.1	33	1.8 ± 0.2	-	0.4 ± 0.2	8,5	2016 - 2020
V357 Mus 2018	2.5 – 5.1	27	2.2 ± 0.1	-	1.3 ± 0.2	5	2010 - <u>2020</u>
V906 Car 2018 ^h	2.9 – 7.6	$> 20^{i}$	1.8 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 1.1	12.2 ± 0.4	9	From
V392 Per 2018	3.1 – 4.2	$\gtrsim 8^{j}$	2.0 ± 0.1	-	2.2 ± 0.4	10,25	Chamiula 01
V1707 Sco 2019	7.3 – 8.8	5	2.1 ± 0.2	-	2.9 ± 1.0	11,12	Chomiuk+21,
YZ Ret 2020	2.2 – 2.6	18	2.2 ± 0.1	-	2.6 ± 0.2	12,13,26	ARAA
V1405 Cas 2021	1.6 – 1.8						2021 - onward
V1674 Her 2021	2.5 – 5.4						2021 - <u>Unwarc</u>
RS Oph 2021	2.6 – 2.9	RS Oph –	- recall talks b	v Aguasca-Ca	bot (Wednesday), Rev	ville (Thursd	av)

V3890 Sgr 2019 8.1 - 9.1

2022 and 2023 - none

See Koji Mukai's updated list: https://asd.gsfc.nasa.gov/Koji.Mukai/novae/novae.html



Gamma-ray Properties





- Durations ~ 5-55 days - t_{rise} ~ t_{fall} ~ 2-7 days

(shortest duration = 0.75 day in fastest nova Her 2021; Sokolovsky+23)

- flux peaks (>0.1 GeV) ~ 0.1-5 x 10⁻⁶ ph cm⁻² s⁻¹
- Power law (Γ = 1.8-2.3); many with cutoffs (Ec ~ GeV)

(slightly harder in symbiotics)

- Average luminosities (>0.1

GeV) ~ 10^{34} - 4 x 10^{36} erg s⁻¹ (highest in the bulge)



Late-time emission (39-55 days) observed for classical novae within ~2 kpc (above, and V1405 Cas 2021, Buson+21)

Late-time emission (55 days) in symbiotic recurrent RS Oph 2021 (D~2.7 kpc); future explosion from T CrB (closer system; D ~ 0.9 kpc)?



Range of optical vs. gamma-ray lightcurve behaviors in classical novae, with a subset strongly correlated (e.g., V906 Car; Aydi+20)
 Late-time emission (55 days) in symbiotic recurrent RS Oph 2021: future

Late-time emission (55 days) in symbiotic recurrent RS Oph 2021; future explosion from T CrB (closer system; D ~ 0.9 kpc)?

T CrB Watch – keystone system





Gamma-rav

- All other known recurrent-symbiotic novae have exploded during Fermi-era (V745 Sco 2014, V3890 Sgr 2019, RS Oph 2021; also symbiotic V407 Cyg 2010)
- 3x closer than RS Oph; naively scale by distance => ~10x brighter?

Other symbiotic binary systems: Neutron star GX 1+4/V2116 Oph; Black hole V404 Cyg

- T CrB (T Coronae Borealis)
 M4.5 III red giant companion, Porb = 227.57 day (Fekel et al. 2000)
 M_WD = 1.37 (0.13) Msun (Stanishev et al. 2004)
 Eruptions in 1866, 1946, P rec ~80 year
 - Optically very bright -- peaks of 2 mag and 3 mag, respectively
- RA, Dec (J2000) = 15 59, +25 55 (north)
- Closest known recurrent-symbiotic nova,
- D = 0.91 +/- 0.02 kpc (Schaefer 2022)
- Earlier predictions by Luna+20, others





Observed apparent inverse relationship between >0.1 GeV LAT emission durations and total emitted energies (as well as total number of photons)
 Perhaps indicates more compact ejecta with higher density, producing more accelerated particles leading to:

(a) shorter emission duration and (b) stronger [or more intense] emission

Cheung et al. (2016)





- Are all novae GeV gamma-ray sources ?
 - Yes, with reliable distances, majority of novae within ~4 kpc detected; subset of Bulge novae (D ~ 8 kpc)
- Symbiotics (and recurrents) as keystone systems ?
 - Hadronic emission has outsized role in RS Oph, and likely other symbiotics.
 - Expect outburst of T CrB (D~0.9 kpc), in 2020's, with prompt ~MeV (<1 day) coverage by COSI-SMEX. Additional outbursts from other known systems (RS Oph 203X?)
- Are the γ-ray shock acceleration sites identifiable at lower-energies ?
 - Consider the multi-wavelength observations necessary to correlated changes in gamma-ray emission with changes=s in radio morphology or optical spectralline velocities ?
- Role of future VHE observations, particularly with CTA ?
 - Provide useful constraints on the maximum energy of accelerate particles
- Bonus: Role of high-energy neutrino observations ?
 - Expected neutrino signature of hadronic emission likely beyond present capabilities (Razzaque et al. 2010; Metzger et al. 2016; Guetta et al. 2023)







Backup: Historical Galactic Novae: up to 2021.6 (124 in Fermi-era)

serm.

Gamma-ray







Left: LAT data with error bars (black) are >3σ detections, while 2–3σ data and upper limits are shown in gray. The optical data are V -band (green) and Visual observations (black) from the AAVSO; additional V -band measurements from days 0.233–0.381 and 1.227–1.375 are from our observations.

Right: LAT >0.1 GeV light-curve in 10-minute bins in units of relative flux and Bayesian Block partitions indicated in red, LAT >0.1GeV orbit-bin light-curve, and optical light-curve, but for the first three days of activity. This version of the figure helps show more detail in the optical observations obtained from the Global Meteor Network camera IL0003 on the nights of August 8 and 9.

Cheung et al. (2022)



Tatischeff & Hernanz (arXiv:2302.01276) considered their original 2007 model for the RS Oph 2006 explosion with the RS Oph 2021 high-energy (LAT) and VHE (HESS, MAGIC) gamma-ray observations.

Gamma-ray Spectra: COSI





Courtesy: Pierre Jean

Space Telescope

Why do novae emit gamma-rays with E~1 MeV? Main radioactive isotopes synthesized in novae

	Nucleus	τ	Type of emission	Nova type	
	¹³ N	862 s	511 keV line continuum (E<511 keV)	CO and ONe	
	¹⁸ F	158 min	511 keV line continuum (E<511 keV)	CO and ONe	
ſ	⁷ Be	77 days	478 keV line	CO mainly	
	²² Na	3.75 yr	1275 keV line	ONe	
	²⁶ AI	1.0X10 ⁶ yr	1809 keV line	ONe	

M. Hernanz – e-ASTROGAM Workshop – Padova, 28/2-2/3/2017

